
DAR Watershed Code: 81068

### Kalōpā Gulch, Hawai'i



#### WATERSHED FEATURES

Kalōpā Gulch watershed occurs on the island of Hawai'i. The Hawaiian meaning of the name is the "tenant farmer". The area of the watershed is 35.6 square mi (92.3 square km), with maximum elevation of 13681 ft (4170 m). The watershed's DAR cluster code is 6, meaning that the watershed is large, narrow, and steep in the upper watershed. The percent of the watershed in the different land use districts is as follows: 52.6% agricultural, 47.4% conservation, 0% rural, and 0% urban.

Land Stewardship: Percentage of the land in the watershed managed or controlled by the corresponding agency or entity. Note that this is not necessarily ownership.

<u>Military</u>	Federal	<u>State</u>	<u>OHA</u>	<u>County</u>	Nature Conservancy	Other Private
0.0	0.0	86.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2

Land Management Status: Percentage of the watershed in the categories of biodiversity protection and management created by the Hawaii GAP program.

Permanent Biodiversity	Managed for Multiple	Protected but	
Protection	Uses	<u>Unmanaged</u>	Unprotected
0.0	0.0	47.3	52.7

Land Use: Areas of the various categories of land use. These data are based on NOAA C-CAP remote sensing project.

	Percent	<u>Square mi</u>	<u>Square km</u>
High Intensity Developed	0.0	0.02	0.04
Low Intensity Developed	0.1	0.05	0.12
Cultivated	0.1	0.05	0.14
Grassland	47.2	16.81	43.53
Scrub/Shrub	10.9	3.89	10.08
Evergreen Forest	9.2	3.29	8.51
Palustrine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Scrub/Shrub	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Emergent	0.0	0.00	0.00
Estuarine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Bare Land	32.4	11.54	29.89
Unconsolidated Shoreline	0.0	0.00	0.00
Water	0.0	0.00	0.00
Unclassified	0.0	0.00	0.00

#### **STREAM FEATURES**

Kalōpā Gulch is a perennial stream. Total stream length is 47.4 mi (76.3 km). The terminal stream order is 3.

## **Reach Type Percentages: The percentage of the stream's channel length in each of the reach type categories.**

<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
0.0	0.0	2.3	8.3	89.3

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{The following stream}(s) \mbox{ occur in the watershed:} \\ \mbox{Hanaipoe} & \mbox{Kal\bar{o}p\bar{a}} & \mbox{N\bar{a}'\bar{u}} \end{array}$ 

#### **BIOTIC SAMPLING EFFORT**

Biotic samples were gathered in the following year(s): 1990 2007

Distribution of Biotic Sampling: The number of survey locations that were sampled in the various reach types.

<u>Survey type</u>	<u>Estuary</u>	Lower	<u>Middle</u>	<u>Upper</u>	Headwaters
DAR Point Quadrat	0	0	0	1	0
DAR Rapid BioAssessment	0	0	0	2	0

#### **BIOTA INFORMATION**

None

#### **HISTORIC RANKINGS**

Historic Rankings: These are rankings of streams from historical studies. "Yes" means the stream was considered worthy of protection by that method. Some methods include non-biotic data in their determination. See Atlas Key for details.

Multi-Attribute Prioritization of Streams - Potential Heritage Streams (1998): No Hawaii Stream Assessment Rank (1990): not ranked U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service High Quality Stream (1988): No The Nature Conservancy- Priority Aquatic Sites (1985): No National Park Service - Nationwide Rivers Inventory (1982): No

Current DAR Decision Rule Status: The following criteria are used by DAR to consider the biotic importance of streams. "Yes" means that watershed has that quality.


Unranked due to no data

#### **CURRENT WATERSHED AND STREAM RATINGS**


The current watershed and stream ratings are based on the data contained in the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database. The ratings provide the score for the individual watershed or stream, the distribution of ratings for that island, and the distribution of ratings statewide. This allows a better understanding of the meaning of a particular ranking and how it compares to other streams. The ratings are standardized to range from 0 to 10 (0 is lowest and 10 is highest rating) for each variable and the totals are also standardized so that the rating is not the average of each component rating. These ratings are subject to change as more data are entered into the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database and can be automatically recalculated as the data improve. In addition to the ratings, we have also provided an estimate of the confidence level of the ratings. This is called rating strength. The higher the rating strength the more likely the data and rankings represent the actual condition of the watershed, stream, and aquatic biota.

#### WATERSHED RATING: Kalopā Gulch, Hawai'i


Land Cover Rating: Rating is based on a scoring sytem where in general forested lands score positively and developed lands score negatively.



<u>Shallow Waters Rating</u>: Rating is based on a combination of the extent of estuarine and shallow marine areas associated with the watershed and stream.





<u>Stewardship Rating</u>: Rating is based on a scoring system where higher levels of land and biodiversity protection within the watershed score positively.




#### WATERSHED RATING (Cont): Kalopā Gulch, Hawaiʻi


<u>Size Rating</u>: Rating is based on the watershed area and total stream length. Larger watersheds and streams score more positively.








<u>Reach Diversity Rating</u>: Rating is based on the types and amounts of different stream reaches available in the watershed. More area in different reach types score more positively.




<u>Total Watershed Rating</u>: Rating is based on combination of <u>Land Cover Rating</u>, <u>Shallow</u> <u>Waters Rating</u>, <u>Stewardship Rating</u>, <u>Size Rating</u>, <u>Wetness Rating</u>, and <u>Reach Diversity Rating</u>.




#### BIOLOGICAL RATING: Kalopā Gulch, Hawai'i


Native Species Rating: Rating is based on the number of native species observed in the watershed.




Introduced Genera Rating: Rating is based on the number of introduced genera observed in the watershed.



<u>All Species' Score Rating:</u> Rating is based on the Hawaii Stream Assessment scoring system where native species score positively and introduced species score negatively.




<u>Total Biological Rating</u>: Rating is the combination of the <u>Native Species Rating</u>, <u>Introduced</u> <u>Genera Rating</u>, and the <u>All Species' Score Rating</u>.




#### **OVERALL RATING: Kalopā Gulch, Hawai'i**

Overall Rating: Rating is a combination of the <u>Total Watershed Rating</u> and the <u>Total Biological</u> <u>Rating</u>.



#### RATING STRENGTH: Kalopā Gulch, Hawai'i

<u>Rating Strength</u>: Represents an estimate of the overall study effort in the stream and is a combination of the number of studies, number of different reaches surveyed, and the number of different survey types.



#### REFERENCES

- 2008. Hawai'i Division of Aquatic Resources. DAR Point Quadrat Survey Data from the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database.
- 2008. Hawai'i Division of Aquatic Resources. Rapid Assessment Surveys in DAR Aquatic Surveys Database.

# Blank Page