DAR Watershed Code: 82002

Ka‘awali‘i Gulch, Hawai‘i



WATERSHED FEATURES

Ka‘awali‘i Gulch watershed occurs on the island of Hawai‘i. The Hawaiian meaning of the name is unknown. The area of the watershed is 23.3 square mi (60.4 square km), with maximum elevation of 13031 ft (3972 m). The watershed's DAR cluster code is not yet determined. The percent of the watershed in the different land use districts is as follows: 38.7% agricultural, 61.3% conservation, 0% rural, and 0% urban.

Land Stewardship: Percentage of the land in the watershed managed or controlled by the corresponding agency or entity. Note that this is not necessarily ownership.

Military	Federal	State	OHA	County	Nature Conservancy	Other Private
0.0	0.2	65.7	17.2	0.0	0.0	16.8

Land Management Status: Percentage of the watershed in the categories of biodiversity protection and management created by the Hawaii GAP program.

Permanent Biodiversity Protection	Managed for Multiple Uses	Protected but Unmanaged	Unprotected
0.2	1.6	55.8	42.4

Land Use: Areas of the various categories of land use. These data are based on NOAA C-CAP remote sensing project.

	Percent	Square mi	Square km
High Intensity Developed	0.0	0.00	0.00
Low Intensity Developed	0.0	0.01	0.02
Cultivated	0.0	0.00	0.00
Grassland	23.0	5.35	13.87
Scrub/Shrub	18.2	4.25	11.02
Evergreen Forest	42.7	9.95	25.78
Palustrine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Scrub/Shrub	0.0	0.00	0.00
Palustrine Emergent	0.0	0.00	0.00
Estuarine Forested	0.0	0.00	0.00
Bare Land	16.1	3.74	9.70
Unconsolidated Shoreline	0.0	0.00	0.00
Water	0.0	0.00	0.00
Unclassified	0.0	0.00	0.00

STREAM FEATURES

Ka‘awali‘i Gulch is a perennial stream. Total stream length is 21 mi (33.9 km). The terminal stream order is 2.

Reach Type Percentages: The percentage of the stream's channel length in each of the reach type categories.

Estuary	Lower	Middle	Upper	Headwaters
0.0	1.3	3.8	29.4	65.5

The following stream(s) occur in the watershed:

Alenaio Ka‘awali‘i

BIOTIC SAMPLING EFFORT

Biotic samples were gathered in the following year(s):

1979 1980 1990

Distribution of Biotic Sampling: The number of survey locations that were sampled in the various reach types.

Survey type	Estuary	Lower	Middle	Upper	Headwaters
Damselfly Surveys	0	0	0	0	1

BIOTA INFORMATION

Species List

Native Species		Native Species	
Fish	*Awaous guamensis* *Lentipes concolor* *Sicyopterus stimpsoni*	**Insects**	*Megalagrion calliphya*

Introduced Species

Crustaceans *Macrobrachium lar*

Species Distributions: Presence (P) of species in different stream reaches.

Scientific Name	Status	Estuary	Lower	Middle	Upper	Headwaters
Megalagrion calliphya	Endemic					P

HISTORIC RANKINGS

Historic Rankings: These are rankings of streams from historical studies. "Yes" means the stream was considered worthy of protection by that method. Some methods include non-biotic data in their determination. See Atlas Key for details.

Multi-Attribute Prioritization of Streams - Potential Heritage Streams (1998): No

Hawaii Stream Assessment Rank (1990): Outstanding

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service High Quality Stream (1988): Yes

The Nature Conservancy- Priority Aquatic Sites (1985): No

National Park Service - Nationwide Rivers Inventory (1982): No

Current DAR Decision Rule Status: The following criteria are used by DAR to consider the biotic importance of streams. "Yes" means that watershed has that quality.

Native Insect Diversity > 19 spp.	Native Macrofauna Diversity > 5 spp.	Absence of Priority 1 Introduced
No	No	Yes
Abundance of Any Native Species	**Presence of Candidate Endangered Species**	**Endangered Newcomb's Snail Habitat**
No	No	No

CURRENT WATERSHED AND STREAM RATINGS

The current watershed and stream ratings are based on the data contained in the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database. The ratings provide the score for the individual watershed or stream, the distribution of ratings for that island, and the distribution of ratings statewide. This allows a better understanding of the meaning of a particular ranking and how it compares to other streams. The ratings are standardized to range from 0 to 10 (0 is lowest and 10 is highest rating) for each variable and the totals are also standardized so that the rating is not the average of each component rating. These ratings are subject to change as more data are entered into the DAR Aquatic Surveys Database and can be automatically recalculated as the data improve. In addition to the ratings, we have also provided an estimate of the confidence level of the ratings. This is called rating strength. The higher the rating strength the more likely the data and rankings represent the actual condition of the watershed, stream, and aquatic biota.

WATERSHED RATING: Ka‘awali‘i Gulch, Hawai‘i

Land Cover Rating: Rating is based on a scoring sytem where in general forested lands score positively and developed lands score negatively.

Watershed Rating

8



Shallow Waters Rating: Rating is based on a combination of the extent of estuarine and shallow marine areas associated with the watershed and stream.

Watershed Rating

0



Stewardship Rating: Rating is based on a scoring system where higher levels of land and biodiversity protection within the watershed score positively.

Watershed Rating

2



WATERSHED RATING (Cont): Ka‘awali‘i Gulch, Hawai‘i

Size Rating: Rating is based on the watershed area and total stream length. Larger watersheds and streams score more positively.

Watershed Rating

2





Wetness Rating: Rating is based on the average annual rainfall within the watershed. Higher rainfall totals score more positively.

Watershed Rating

4





Reach Diversity Rating: Rating is based on the types and amounts of different stream reaches available in the watershed. More area in different reach types score more positively.

Watershed Rating

5





Total Watershed Rating: Rating is based on combination of Land Cover Rating, Shallow Waters Rating, Stewardship Rating, Size Rating, Wetness Rating, and Reach Diversity Rating.

Watershed Rating

5





BIOLOGICAL RATING: Ka‘awali‘i Gulch, Hawai‘i

Native Species Rating: Rating is based on the number of native species observed in the watershed.

Stream Rating

3



Introduced Genera Rating: Rating is based on the number of introduced genera observed in the watershed.

Stream Rating

10



All Species' Score Rating: Rating is based on the Hawaii Stream Assessment scoring system where native species score positively and introduced species score negatively.

Stream Rating

5



Total Biological Rating: Rating is the combination of the Native Species Rating, Introduced Genera Rating, and the All Species' Score Rating.

Stream Rating

5



OVERALL RATING: Ka‘awali‘i Gulch, Hawai‘i

Overall Rating: Rating is a combination of the Total Watershed Rating and the Total Biological Rating.

Watershed Rating

5





RATING STRENGTH: Ka‘awali‘i Gulch, Hawai‘i

Rating Strength: Represents an estimate of the overall study effort in the stream and is a combination of the number of studies, number of different reaches surveyed, and the number of different survey types.

Information Rating

5





REFERENCES

1980. Parrish, J.D. Numerical equivalents of biological data in the Lentipes report.

1980. Timbol, A.S., Sutter, A.J. and J.D. Parrish. Distribution and Relative Abundance of the Endemic Freshwater Goby, Lentipes concolor in Hawaii. Hawaii Cooperative Fishery Research Unit.

1980. Timbol, A.S., Sutter, A.J. and J.D. Parrish. Distribution, Relative Abundance, and Stream Environment of Lentipes concolor (Gill, 1860), and Associated Fauna in Hawaiian Streams.

2006. Polhemus, D.A. Maps of Damselfly Locations.

Blank Page